EUROPEAN COPYRIGHT SOCIETY

European Copyright, quo vadis?

Impact of Artificial Intelligence on copyright issues:

Criteria for protection

Bruxelles, May 25, 2018

RETO M. HILTY



1. What are we talking about?



human intelligence

input (information, knowledge, experience, ...)

human brain (including technical help, e.g. computer-aided) output ... (creation, innovation, ...)

... under control of human

artificial intelligence input

software (algorithms)

output ... unpredictable for humans

step 1

step 2 et seq.

algorithms = human built

algorithms = machine built

2. Why legal protection?



Justifications for protection (= legal exclusivity):

- personality-related rationale
 - → mainly copyright law
 - → protection of creator
 - "Al" step 1 (human built algorithms):
 - software = human created → "work"
 - output = machine built → no "work"
 - "Al" step 2 et seq. (machine built algorithms):
 - software = machine built → no "work"
 - output = machine built → no "work"
- economic rationale (investment protection)

2. Why legal protection?



Justifications for protection (= legal exclusivity):

- personality-related rationale
- economic rationale (investment of protection avoiding "market failure")
 - → mainly industrial property
 - → in principle protection of **investor**
 - "Al" step 1 (human built algorithms):
 - software = human created ("work") → protection of investor (e.g. work made for hire)
 - output = machine built → investment? "market failure" without legal exclusivity?
 - "Al" step 2 et seq. (machine built algorithms):
 - software = machine built → investment? "market failure" without legal exclusivity?
 - output = machine built → investment? "market failure" without legal exclusivity?

3. Protection: what kind, of whom, of what?



human intelligence

input (information, knowledge, experience, ...)

human brain (including technical help, e.g. computer-aided)



copyright → author



artificial intelligence input

software (algorithms)





? copyright ? → ? right holder ?

4. Alternative approach for protection (if ever)?



Article 28 TRIPS - Rights Conferred

- 1. A patent shall confer on its owner the following exclusive rights:
- (a) ...
- (b) where the subject matter of a patent is a process, to prevent third parties not having the owner's consent from the act of **using the process**, and from the acts of: **using, offering for sale, selling, or importing** for these purposes at least **the product <u>obtained directly</u>** by that process.
- 2. ...

artificial intelligence input



5. Consequences – more relevant questions?



human intelligence

(information, knowledge, experience, ...)

human brain

(including technical help, e.g. computer-aided)

output ...

(creation, innovation, ...)

artificial intelligence

input

software (algorithms)

output ...

→ legal permission to use for purposes of "Al"